FORT WORTH DIVISION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

2012 DEC 17 PM 3: 53

Roderick Dion Norman
Plaintiff

4-12 CV - 9 10. **X**CASE#

I.C. SYSTEM, INC.

-v-

Judge	
Juage	
-	

Defendant

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is an action brought by an individual Plaintiff for Defendant's violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (the "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (the "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E. Chapter 17, (the "DTPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices. It also includes actions for damages for Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. (the "FCRA") and Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 (the "TCPA") / Texas Business Code Ann. § 305.053.
- 2. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, TDCPA, DTPA, FCRA and TCPA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. The occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in Tarrant County, Texas and Plaintiff resides in Tarrant County. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Texas Fort Worth Division.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff in this lawsuit is Roderick Dion Norman ("Plaintiff"), a natural person, who resides in Tarrant County, Texas.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C § 1692a(3), the Texas Business and Commerce Code section § 17.50(a)(1), the Texas Finance Code § 392.001(1), and the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
- 7. Defendant I.C. SYSTEM, INC. ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by the use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6).
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(2).
- Upon information and belief Defendant I.C. SYSTEM, INC. is a Minnesota corporation with offices at 444 Highway 96 East, P.O. Box 64437, St. Paul, Minnesota 55164-0437 and authorized to do business in Texas.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff received a collection notice from the Defendant dated September 21, 2012 stating that Defendant was a debt collector attempting to collect an alleged debt regarding an AT&T Uverse account with an alleged balance on said account of \$266.74, and that the alleged debt balance was subject to change due to interest or other charges that would be added to the alleged debt balance subsequent to the date of the letter.
- 11. Plaintiff sent a timely response within 30 days to Defendant on October 13, 2012 disputing and demanding validation of the alleged debt.
- 12. In October 2012 Plaintiff obtained his credit reports from all 3 major credit reporting agencies and discovered that the Defendant had placed inaccurate and derogatory information in his Experian and TransUnion credit files regarding the alleged debt they were attempting to collect.
- 13. On October 13, 2012 Plaintiff disputed the information contained in his Experian and TransUnion credit files directly to the credit reporting agencies who, upon receipt of the dispute and investigation, removed the said information indicating that the Defendant had no legal right to report erroneous information of the alleged and non-existent debt.
- 14. From August 21, 2012 at 6:39 PM until October 16, 2012 at 4:56 PM Plaintiff received thirty-one (31) telephone calls from Defendant showing a caller ID of 202-870-5891 when Defendant had no prior consent from the Plaintiff to do so. Said telephone number is known to be a telephone number used by the Defendant in debt collection calls to consumers, using an automated telephone dialing system. The calls Original Complaint for Violations of FDCPA, TDCPA, DTPA, FCRA and TCPA Page 3 of 8

- were made in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, § 1692d(5), TDCPA, the Texas Business Code Ann. § 305.053 and were a deceptive practice and meant to harass the Plaintiff.
- 15. On September 4, 2012 Plaintiff received one (1) telephone call from caller ID 202-870-5891, which is known to be a number used by the defendant in debt collection calls to consumers. The call received by the Plaintiff on September 4, 2012 caused the Plaintiff's cellular telephone to ring. At no time did the Plaintiff give the Defendant his consent to call him. A collection attempt made by phone to the Plaintiff's cellular telephone AND using an automated telephone dialing system was a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5), the TDCPA, as well as the Texas Business Code Ann. § 305.053.
- 16. On December 6, 2012 Plaintiff sent a "Notice of Pending Lawsuit" to the Defendant and they did not respond at any time as requested by the Plaintiff to avoid litigation regarding their violations of the FDCPA, TDCPA, DTPA, FCRA, TCPA and the Tex. Business and Finance Code Annotated.
- 17. All violations complained of herein occurred within the statute of limitations of the applicable statutes, both state and federal.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA) BY DEFENDANT I.C. SYSTEM, INC.

- 18. Plaintiff alleges and re-alleges each and every allegation stated above.
- 19. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA.

Original Complaint for Violations of FDCPA, TDCPA, DTPA, FCRA and TCPA Page 4 of 8

- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000;
- c) Award Plaintiff any attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- e) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF THE TDCPA

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation stated above.
- 21. Defendant I.C. SYSTEM, INC. violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of following ways:
 - a) Using false representation or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer, including Tex Fin. Code
 § 392.304(a)(19).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging the Defendant violated the TDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff any attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- e) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF THE DTPA

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
- 22. A violation of the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act is a deceptive trade practice under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and is actionable under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a)
 - 23. Defendant violated Tex. Bus. Com. Code, Chapter 17, Subchapter E.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act,
 Tex. Bus. Com. Code, Chapter 17, Subchapter E;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages for time spent defending against
 Defendant's deceptive activities.
- c) Awarding Plaintiff three times actual damages, pursuant to Tex. Bus. Com. Code § 17.50(h);
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT IV

VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
- 25. Defendant violated the FCRA when they reported erroneous and derogatory information to Experian for (5) months in June, July, August, September, and October 2012, and to TransUnion for two (2) months in September and October 2012 in violation of the FCRA 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(1)(A).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FCRA
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1000 per month per reporting agency that erroneous and a derogatory information was contained in Plaintiff's credit files as result of Defendant's actions, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff any attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- e) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT V

VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA/TEXAS BUSINESS CODE ANN. § 305.053

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
 - Original Complaint for Violations of FDCPA, TDCPA, DTPA, FCRA and TCPA Page 7 of 8

27. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the TCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the TCPA/Texas Business Code Ann. § 305.053.
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to Texas Business Code Ann.
 § 305.053 in the amount of \$500 for the first call made to Plaintiff and \$1,500 per call for thirty-one (31) successive calls made to Plaintiff which were willful and knowing violations of the code.
- c) Awarding Plaintiff any attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- e) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable as a matter of law.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of December, 2012

Roderick Dion Norman 6268 Stardust Dr. S.

Watauga, TX 76148

(469) 774-4528

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

Case 4:12-cv-00910-Y Decument 1-Viled 12/17/12 Page 9 of 9 PageID 9

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declert sheet.

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	STRÛCTIONS ÔN NEXT PAGE	OF THIS FORM.)	1				•	•	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFEN	DANTS							
Roderick Dion Norman	I.C. SYST	I.C. SYSTEM, INC.							
(b) County of Residence (E.	County of NOTE:	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	7)	Attorneys	(If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSH	IP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P		Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another Sta	Citizen of Another State		Incorporated and P of Business In A			
- X-1 (Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	a 🗗	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		1 6	13 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT									
CONTRACT	1	RTS	FORFEITURE/P		T	KRUPTCY		STATUT	ES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Airplane Airplane Product Liability		Moderation services of the ser	423 Withor 28 US	TYRIGHTS rights tmark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) ZDIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	☐ 448 Education	Conditions of Confinement	☐ 465 Other Immigra Actions						
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you ar	4 Reinstated or Reopened	o anothe (specify		6 Multidistr Litigation	ict	**	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of ca	5 USC 1681, 47 US	SC 227		****				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	DEMAND \$ 57,000.00								
VIII. RELATED CASI PENDING OR CLOS		DOCKET NUMBER							
DATE	4	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD		<u> </u>				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	/)	the state of							

--- ----

DECEMBE#